

Your article (# 59822) from Journal of Clinical Oncology is available for download

=====

Journal of Clinical Oncology

Article no. 59822

Dear Author,

*** PLEASE REPLY TO SENDER; RESPOND TO: jcocorrections@asco.org ***

This letter describes how to access and review your manuscript scheduled for an upcoming issue of the Journal of Clinical Oncology.

Most of the changes you encounter are the result of your manuscript having been edited according to the Journal's style. These and all other edits are made by expert copy editors with the intent of communicating your scientific discovery in the clearest, most concise manner possible. Therefore, please understand that only changes essential to the content can be made at this point. Significant changes to data or wording may result in the article being removed from an issue and routed through editorial review once again.

To access your proofs, please refer to this URL:

<http://rapidproof.cadmus.com/RapidProof/retrieval/index.jsp>

Login: Your e-mail address

Password: ----

This site contains one file. You will need to have Adobe Acrobat Reader software to read this file. This is free software, which is available at <http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep.html>.

This file contains the following:

- E-mail notification
- Reprint order form (please note that each corresponding author will receive 10 gratis e-mail prints of their article)
- Proofreading marks
- Page proofs of your article and author queries

Please communicate any corrections and answers to author queries by e-mail or by fax. If you choose to e-mail them, you may input corrections directly into the PDF file or type the corrections within the body of an e-mail message itself. If you choose to send them by fax, please print the PDF file and mark your corrections directly upon the hard copy. Regardless of how you wish to submit your corrections, please read the page proofs carefully and

- Indicate changes or corrections in the margins of the page proofs, on a separate sheet, or within the PDF
- Answer all author queries, indicated as AQ:A, AQ:B, AQ:C, etc, in the margins of the proofs or on the last page of the PDF proofs
- Proofread tables, figures, and equations carefully
- Confirm that Greek symbols, especially mu, have translated correctly.

If you are not making changes to the article, please write "No corrections" on the first page of the proofs, sign and date it, and fax it to the number provided below. You may also choose to return your message of "No corrections" by e-mail. Please remember to review the author queries page, answer any questions, and transmit them accordingly even if you do not wish to make any changes to your article.

Regarding reprints:

If you wish to order reprints, please use the Publication Fees Worksheet/Reprint Order Form. Reprint orders for articles containing color figures must be received before the issue goes to press. Please direct all inquiries about reprints and invoices to the Reprint Department (1-800-407-9190 ext. 3966) or baynardr@cadmus.com.

All original figures are retained in the event that corrections are needed. Please note that any figure changes made at this advanced stage of publication should be to correct data/factual errors, or errors that severely compromise clarity or meaning. If any figure requires corrections, please give specific details describing the changes needed and supply a new original if necessary. Color proofs of color figures are available upon request. Compare files that show editorial changes are available upon request and can be sent to you as an e-mail attachment.

Please fax or e-mail your response within 48 hours. Failure to do so may affect the publication date of your manuscript. If you wish you may also send the original proof materials with your corrections written on them by overnight mail.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Horowitz
Copyediting Manager
Journal of Clinical Oncology
American Society of Clinical Oncology
330 John Carlyle St., Ste. 300
Alexandria, VA 22314
email: jcocorrections@asco.org
Phone: 703-519-1403
FAX: 703-684-3269

Journal of Clinical Oncology 2008

This is your reprint order form or pro forma invoice

(Please keep a copy of this document for your records.)

Reprint order forms and purchase orders or prepayments must be received 72 hours after receipt of form either by mail or by fax at 410-820-9765. It is the policy of Cadmus Reprints to issue one invoice per order.

Please print clearly.

Author Name _____
Title of Article _____
Issue of Journal _____ Reprint # _____ Manuscript # _____ Publication Date _____
Number of Pages _____ Color in Article? Yes / No (Please Circle) Symbol JCO

Please include the journal name and reprint number or manuscript number on your purchase order or other correspondence.

Order and Shipping Information

Reprint Costs (Please see page 2 of 2 for reprint costs/fees.)

_____ Number of color reprints ordered \$ _____

Articles more than 90 days from publication:

_____ Pages @ \$5.67/page –
late order fee \$ _____

Subtotal \$ _____

Taxes \$ _____

(Add appropriate sales tax for Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and the District of Columbia or Canadian GST to the reprints if your order is to be shipped to these locations.)

First address included, add \$32 for
each additional shipping address \$ _____

Total Amount Due \$ _____

Shipping Address (cannot ship to a P.O. Box) Please Print Clearly

Name _____

Institution _____

Street _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Country _____

Quantity _____ Fax _____

Phone: Day _____ Evening _____

E-mail Address _____

Additional Shipping Address* (cannot ship to a P.O. Box)

Name _____

Institution _____

Street _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Country _____

Quantity _____ Fax _____

Phone: Day _____ Evening _____

E-mail Address _____

* Add \$32 for each additional shipping address

Payment and Credit Card Details

Enclosed: Personal Check _____

Institutional Purchase Order _____

Credit Card Payment Details _____

Checks must be paid in U.S. dollars and drawn on a U.S. Bank.

Credit Card: VISA Am. Exp. MasterCard

Card Number _____

Expiration Date _____

Signature: _____

Please send your order form and purchase order or prepayment made payable to:

Cadmus Reprints
P.O. Box 751903
Charlotte, NC 28275-1903

*Note: Do not send express packages to this location, PO Box.
FEIN #:541274108*

Invoice or Credit Card Information

Invoice Address Please Print Clearly

Please complete Invoice address as it appears on credit card statement

Name _____

Institution _____

Department _____

Street _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Country _____

Phone _____ Fax _____

E-mail Address _____

Purchase Order No. _____

Cadmus will process credit cards and Cadmus Journal Services will appear on the credit card statement.

If you do not mail your order form, you may fax it to 410-820-9765 with your credit card information.

Signature _____ Date _____

Signature is required. By signing this form, the author agrees to accept the responsibility for the payment of reprints and/or all charges described in this document.

Journal of Clinical Oncology 2008

Color Reprint Prices

| Domestic (USA only) | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| # of Pages | 50 | 100 | 200 | 300 | 400 | 500 |
| 1-4 | \$238 | \$355 | \$410 | \$471 | \$529 | \$563 |
| 5-8 | \$550 | \$679 | \$757 | \$916 | \$992 | \$1,054 |
| 9-12 | \$809 | \$1,001 | \$1,118 | \$1,356 | \$1,477 | \$1,568 |
| 13-16 | \$1,062 | \$1,329 | \$1,481 | \$1,798 | \$1,958 | \$2,080 |
| 17-20 | \$1,278 | \$1,567 | \$1,755 | \$2,099 | \$2,281 | \$2,391 |

| International (includes Canada and Mexico) | | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| # of Pages | 50 | 100 | 200 | 300 | 400 | 500 |
| 1-4 | \$261 | \$369 | \$434 | \$509 | \$576 | \$624 |
| 5-8 | \$590 | \$703 | \$802 | \$984 | \$1,083 | \$1,167 |
| 9-12 | \$871 | \$1,039 | \$1,183 | \$1,460 | \$1,608 | \$1,737 |
| 13-16 | \$1,156 | \$1,381 | \$1,568 | \$1,932 | \$2,136 | \$2,302 |
| 17-20 | \$1,396 | \$1,631 | \$1,863 | \$2,268 | \$2,502 | \$2,663 |

Minimum order is 50 copies. For orders larger than 500 copies or longer than 20 pages, please consult Cadmus Reprints at 800-407-9190.

Shipping

Shipping costs are included in the reprint prices. Domestic orders are shipped via UPS Ground service. Foreign orders are shipped via an expedited proof of delivery air service. The shipping address printed on an institutional purchase order always supercedes.

Multiple Shipments

Orders can be shipped to more than one location. Please be aware that it will cost \$32 for each additional location.

Delivery

Your order will be shipped within 2 weeks of the journal print date. Allow extra time for delivery.

Late Order Charges

Articles more than 90 days from publication date will carry an additional charge of \$5.67 per page for file retrieval.

Tax Due

Residents of Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and the District of Columbia are required to add the appropriate sales tax to each reprint order. For orders shipped to Canada, please add 6% Canadian GST unless exemption is claimed.

Ordering

Prepayment or a signed institutional purchase order is required to process your order. Please reference journal name and reprint number or manuscript number on your purchase order or other correspondence. You may use the reverse side of this form as a proforma invoice. Please return your order form and purchase order or prepayment to:

Cadmus Reprints

P.O. Box 751903
Charlotte, NC 28275-1903

Note: Do not send express packages to this location, PO Box. FEIN #: 541274108

Please direct all inquiries to:

Cadmus Reprints

800-407-9190 (toll free number)
410-819-3966 (direct number)
410-820-9765 (FAX number)
jcoreprints@cadmus.com

Reprint Order Forms and Purchase Orders or prepayments must be received 72 hours after receipt of form.

PROOFREADER'S MARKS

| Mark | Explanation | Example |
|------|---|-----------------------------|
| | Delete | Your proof e |
| | Close up; delete space | You r proof |
| | Delete and close up (use only when deleting letters <i>within</i> a word) | Your proof |
| | Let it stand | stet Your proof |
| # | Insert space | Your # proof |
| | Begin new paragraph | ...read. Your proof... |
| | Indent type one em | Your proof |
| | Move right | Your proof |
| | Move left | Your proof |
| | Center | Your proof |
| | Move up | Your proof |
| | Move down | Your proof |
| | Flush left | Your proof |
| | Flush right | Your proof |
| | Align vertically | Your proof Your proof |
| | Transpose | Your proof |
| | Set in italic type | Your proof |
| | Set in roman type | Your proof |
| | Set in boldface type | Your proof |

| Mark | Explanation | Example |
|------|---------------------------------|--|
| | Wrong font; set in correct type | Your proof |
| | Set lowercase | Your PRooF |
| | Set in capital letters | your proof |
| | Set in small capitals | Your proof |
| ∨ | Insert here or make superscript | Your proof 10 ¹⁶ |
| | Insert here or make subscript | Your proof CA _s |
| | Insert comma | Your proof, |
| | Insert apostrophe | Your proof's ready |
| | Insert quotation marks | Your proof |
| | Insert period | Your proof. |
| | Insert semicolon | Your proof; |
| | Insert colon | Your proof: |
| | Insert hyphen | Your 10/page proof |
| | Insert em dash | Your proof among the... |
| | Insert en dash | non-small-cell cancer |
| | Insert parentheses | Your proof among the... |
| | Insert square brackets | Your proof (estrogen receptor [ER] markers...) |
| | Run in (no paragraph) | ...marked. Your proof... |
| | Repeat change | Your proof |

Influence of Pre- and Postdiagnosis Physical Activity on Mortality in Breast Cancer Survivors: The Health, Eating, Activity, and Lifestyle Study

Melinda L. Irwin, Ashley Wilder Smith, Anne McTiernan, Rachel Ballard-Barbash, Kathy Cronin, Frank D. Gilliland, Richard N. Baumgartner, Kathy B. Baumgartner, and Leslie Bernstein

AQ: A

From the Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT; Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD; Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA; University of Southern California, Los Angeles; Division of Population Sciences, City of Hope National Medical Center, Duarte, CA; and Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, School of Public Health and Information Sciences, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY.

Submitted December 26, 2007; accepted May 14, 2008.

Supported by National Cancer Institute Grants No. N01-CN-75036-20, N01-CN-05228, and N01-PC-67010 and Training Grant No. T32 CA09661. A portion of this work was conducted through the Clinical Research Center at the University of Washington and supported by the National Institutes of Health Grant No. M01-RR-00037 and the University of New Mexico Grant No. NCCR M01-RR-0997. Data collection for the Women's Contraceptive and Reproductive Experiences Study at the University of Southern California was supported by Contract No. N01-HD-3-3175 from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, and patient identification was supported in part by Contract No. 050Q-8709-S1528 from the California Department of Health Services.

AQ: B

Authors' disclosures of potential conflicts of interest and author contributions are found at the end of this article.

Corresponding author: Melinda L. Irwin, PhD, MPH, Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Yale School of Medicine, P.O. Box 208034, New Haven, CT 06520-8034; e-mail: melinda.irwin@yale.edu.

© 2008 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

0732-183X/08/2624-1/\$20.00

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2007.15.9822

A B S T R A C T

Purpose

To investigate the association between pre- and postdiagnosis physical activity (as well as change in prediagnosis to postdiagnosis physical activity) and mortality among women with breast cancer.

Patients and Methods

This was a prospective observational study of 933 women enrolled onto the Health, Eating, Activity, and Lifestyle Study who were diagnosed with local or regional breast cancer between 1995 and 1998 and observed until death or September 2004, whichever came first. The primary outcomes measured were total deaths and breast cancer deaths. The primary exposures were physical activity in the year before and 2 years after diagnosis and the pre- to postdiagnosis change in physical activity.

Results

Compared with inactive women, the multivariable hazard ratios (HRs) for total deaths for women expending at least 9 metabolic equivalent hours per week (approximately 2 to 3 h/wk of brisk walking) were 0.69 (95% CI, 0.45 to 1.06; $P = .045$) for those active in the year before diagnosis and 0.33 (95% CI, 0.15 to 0.73; $P = .046$) for those active 2 years after diagnosis. Compared with women who were inactive both before and after diagnosis, women who increased physical activity after diagnosis had a 45% lower risk of death (HR = 0.55; 95% CI, 0.22 to 1.38), and women who decreased physical activity after diagnosis had a four-fold greater risk of death (HR = 3.95; 95% CI, 1.45 to 10.50).

Conclusion

Moderate-intensity physical activity after a diagnosis of breast cancer may improve prognosis.

J Clin Oncol 26:000-000. © 2008 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

INTRODUCTION

More than 25 studies over the last 20 years have demonstrated that both premenopausal and postmenopausal women who are physically active have a 30% to 40% lower risk of developing breast cancer compared with sedentary women.¹ Furthermore, recent publications have shown that approximately 2 to 3 h/wk of moderate-intensity physical activity after a breast cancer diagnosis is associated with a 40% to 50% lower risk of breast cancer death.²⁻⁴ Similar amounts of physical activity after a diagnosis of colon cancer have been associated with an approximate 60% lower risk of death.^{5,6} The beneficial effects of physical activity may be mediated through a reduction in body fat and beneficial changes in metabolic and sex hormones, growth factors, adipokines, immune function, or inflammation.^{7,8}

In an effort to quantify the amount and timing of physical activity necessary for improving breast cancer prognosis, we examined the association between physical activity, assessed in the year before and 2 years after diagnosis, and mortality in an ethnically diverse sample of breast cancer survivors enrolled onto the Health, Eating, Activity, and Lifestyle (HEAL) Study.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study Participants

The HEAL Study is a population-based, multicenter, multiethnic prospective cohort study that has enrolled 1,183 breast cancer survivors to determine whether lifestyle, hormones, and other exposures affect breast cancer prognosis.⁹⁻¹¹ Women were recruited through Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) registries in

New Mexico, Los Angeles County, and Western Washington. Women with first primary breast cancer were contacted to determine eligibility. Details of the study have been published previously.⁹⁻¹¹

Briefly, in New Mexico, we recruited 615 women, aged 18 years or older, diagnosed with in situ to regional breast cancer between July 1996 and March 1999, and living in Bernalillo, Sante Fe, Sandoval, Valencia, or Taos Counties. In Western Washington, we recruited 202 women, between the ages of 40 and 64 years, diagnosed with in situ to regional breast cancer between September 1997 and September 1998, and living in King, Pierce, or Snohomish Counties. In Los Angeles County, 366 black women with in situ to regional breast cancer, who had participated in the Los Angeles portion of the Women's Contraceptive and Reproductive Experiences Study (a case-control study of invasive breast cancer) or who had participated in a parallel case-control study of in situ breast cancer, were recruited for the HEAL Study. Eligible participants from these two studies were the subset of black women who were diagnosed with

breast cancer between May 1995 and May 1998. Both Washington and Los Angeles restricted eligibility to women aged 35 to 64 years at diagnosis because of competing studies and by design of the parent study.

A total of 1,183 women completed in-person baseline interviews, which were conducted 6 ± 2 months (median, 5 months) after diagnosis. Among these women, 933 were diagnosed with local and regional breast cancer. A total of 944 women completed the follow-up interview conducted 3 years after diagnosis (median, 2.5 years). In the interval between the baseline and 3-year interview, 54 of these women experienced a recurrence or new breast primary. These women contributed to analyses regarding physical activity before diagnosis but not to analyses of physical activity after diagnosis. Also, 202 women were excluded from postdiagnosis analyses because of missing physical activity data (n = 8) and a diagnosis of in situ breast cancer (n = 194). Thus, our prediagnosis and postdiagnosis physical activity analyses are based on a sample of 933 and 688 breast cancer survivors, respectively (Fig 1). The study was

AQ:C, F1




Fig 1. Participant recruitment and timing of data collection. SEER, Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results.

Physical Activity and Survival

performed with the approval of the institutional review boards of participating centers, in accord with an assurance filed with and approved by the US Department of Health and Human Services.

Data Collection

Physical activity. We collected information on all types of physical activity, including recreational, occupational, and household activities, using the interview-administered Modifiable Activity Questionnaire developed by Kriska.¹² This questionnaire has been shown to have high validity and reliability ($r = 0.73$ with doubly labeled water and $r = 0.92$ for 3-week test-retest).¹² Participants recalled the type, duration, and frequency of physical activities for the year before diagnosis at the baseline interview (Washington and New Mexico) and at the 3-year postdiagnosis interview (all three sites). Data from Los Angeles for prediagnosis physical activity were collected using a lifetime physical activity questionnaire that provided sufficient detail to classify activity according to the Modifiable Activity Questionnaire.¹³ Each activity was categorized as light (< 3 metabolic equivalents of energy expenditure [MET]), moderate (3 to 6 MET), or vigorous (> 6 MET).¹⁴

Outcome assessment. Follow-up time ranged from 5 to 8 years from diagnosis, with a median follow-up time of 6 years. Women were observed until death or September 30, 2004, whichever occurred first. SEER records were used to determine the vital status of participants. Prior literature indicates that breast cancer death is accurately reported on death certificates, with confirmation rates that are greater than 93% when comparing death certificates with medical records.¹⁵

At the 3-year follow-up data collection point, women were asked whether they had any disease recurrences or new breast cancers diagnosed, breast biopsy or surgery, new adjuvant treatment, bone or computed tomography scan, or hospitalizations. A positive response triggered a request for medical records to confirm details of each recurrence or second primary breast cancer. New breast cancer primaries were also identified through linkage with SEER records. Six women self-reported recurrence or a new breast primary that was not confirmed via medical records.

Covariates. Trained staff measured weight and height at the baseline (Washington and New Mexico) and 3-year postdiagnosis assessments (all three sites). Measurements of weight and height were made to the nearest 0.1 kg and 0.1 cm, respectively, with women wearing light indoor clothing and no shoes. All measurements were performed twice and averaged for a final value.

Medical history, demographic, and lifestyle information was collected at the baseline and 3-year visits. Information on disease stage, hormone receptor status, adjuvant therapy, and hormonal therapy was abstracted from SEER records and from physician and hospital medical records. Information on diet was collected at the 3-year follow-up assessment using a 120-item self-administered food frequency questionnaire.¹⁶

Statistical Analyses

Cox proportional hazards models were fit to our data using time since the baseline or 3-year interview as the underlying time variable. We calculated the hazard ratio (HR) of death in one exposure group and compared it with the HR in a referent exposure group adjusting for potential confounding factors. Two outcomes were defined; these were total deaths and breast cancer deaths (with deaths from other causes censored).

Physical activity was measured as activity in the year before diagnosis, activity in the second year after diagnosis, and change in physical activity between these two reference points. We conducted analyses with physical activity classified in tertiles, as the recommended amount of physical activity (ie, 0, > 0 to < 9, and ≥ 9 MET-h/wk, with 9 MET-h/wk equal to approximately 150 min/wk of moderate-intensity physical activity),¹⁷ and in physical activity categories similar to the Holmes et al² publication (ie, 0, > 0 to < 9, ≥ 9 to < 15, ≥ 15 to < 24, and ≥ 24 MET-h/wk). Results were similar for each of the classification approaches used and for different types of physical activity; thus, we present our results using categories of recommended recreational physical activity. Categories for change in physical activity from before to after diagnosis were classified as inactive (ie, 0 MET-h/wk before and after diagnosis), a decrease in activity of more than 3 MET-h/wk, maintenance of activity within 3 MET-h/wk, or an increase in activity of at

Table 1. Characteristics of HEAL Breast Cancer Survivors According to Physical Activity Category in the Year Before Diagnosis (N = 933)

| Characteristic | Sports/Recreational Physical Activity (% of patients) | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | 0 MET-h/wk (n = 397) | > 0-8.9 MET-h/wk (n = 237) | ≥ 9 MET-h/wk (n = 299) |
| Age, years* | | | |
| Mean | 55.6 | 56.1 | 54.9 |
| SD | 11.8 | 11.4 | 11.3 |
| BMI at baseline, kg/m ² † | | | |
| Mean | 28.0 | 27.0 | 25.1‡§ |
| SD | 6.3 | 5.9 | 4.6 |
| BMI at 3 years after diagnosis, kg/m ² | | | |
| Mean | 29.7 | 28.4 | 26.0‡§ |
| SD | 7.6 | 6.7 | 5.5 |
| Age at first birth, years | | | |
| Mean | 21.9 | 23.8 | 23.7 |
| SD | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.0 |
| Postmenopausal* | 59 | 65¶ | 56§ |
| High school graduate | 88 | 97¶ | 97‡ |
| Race | | | |
| African American | 57 | 9¶ | 17‡ |
| Non-Hispanic white | 32 | 68¶ | 67‡ |
| Hispanic white | 10 | 19¶ | 13§ |
| Other | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| Disease stage | | | |
| Local | 64 | 72 | 72 |
| Regional | 36 | 28 | 28 |
| Treatment | | | |
| Surgery only | 28 | 21 | 23 |
| Surgery + radiation | 30 | 36 | 37 |
| Surgery + any chemotherapy | 42 | 43 | 40 |
| ER status | | | |
| Positive | 63 | 71 | 68 |
| Negative | 28 | 21 | 19‡ |
| Unknown | 10 | 8 | 13 |
| Tamoxifen use | 41 | 59¶ | 53‡ |
| Current smoker* | 19 | 15 | 7‡§ |

NOTE. Physical activity during the year before diagnosis was assessed during baseline interview (approximately 6 months after diagnosis).

Abbreviations: HEAL, Health, Eating, Activity, and Lifestyle Study; MET, metabolic equivalent; SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index; ER, estrogen receptor.

*Determined at baseline interview (approximately 6 months after diagnosis). †Baseline BMI was only measured in Washington and New Mexico.

‡ ≥ 9 MET-h/wk significantly different from 0 MET-h/wk, $P < .05$.

§ ≥ 9 MET-h/wk significantly different from > 0-8.9 MET-h/wk, $P < .05$.

||Postdiagnosis BMI was measured at all three sites approximately 3 years after diagnosis.

¶> 0-8.9 MET-h/wk significantly different from 0 MET-h/wk, $P < .05$.

least 3 MET-h/wk. For all three physical activity analyses, the 0 MET-h/wk category was the reference group.

We evaluated the relationship between physical activity and death using an age-adjusted model and a multivariable model with adjustments for age, race, disease stage, treatment, tamoxifen use at the baseline or 3-year interview, body mass index at the 3-year follow-up interview, fruit/vegetable servings per day at the 3-year follow-up interview, and prediagnosis physical activity for analysis of change in physical activity. Other covariates considered were study site, education, parity, age at first full-term pregnancy, family history of breast cancer, estrogen receptor (ER) status, menopausal status, history of smoking, history of oral contraceptive use, and diet. However, because none of these covariates altered the HR by more than 10% and because

some of the covariates were strongly associated with covariates already included in the analyses (eg, race and study site), they were not included in the multivariable-adjusted models.

To minimize the possible influence of recurrent disease on postdiagnosis physical activity, we did a subgroup analysis excluding women who had an adverse event (recurrence, new primary, or death) within the 2 years after completing the postdiagnosis physical activity questionnaire. We also considered whether the association between postdiagnosis physical activity and death varied according to certain demographic and prognostic variables.

We tested for heterogeneity of trends in risk using a 1-*df* χ^2 test. In addition, a Kaplan-Meier survival analysis examining 5-year survival, stratified by physical activity category, was conducted. All analyses were performed using SAS Version 8.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Among the 933 women included in this analysis, there were 164 deaths (115 from breast cancer), 56 breast cancer recurrences, and 40 new breast primaries. The distribution of covariates according to category of physical activity in the year before diagnosis is shown in Table 1.

Table 2 lists the age- and multivariable-adjusted HRs for total deaths and breast cancer deaths by recreational physical activity in the year before diagnosis. Women who engaged in at least 9 MET-h/wk of physical activity had a 31% lower risk of death (HR = 0.69; 95% CI, 0.45 to 1.06; *P* for trend = .045) compared with women who were inactive in the year before diagnosis. Results were essentially unchanged after excluding women who had an adverse event (ie, recurrence, new primary, or death) within 2 years of diagnosis (n = 49).

Results for analyses examining the association between physical activity during the second year after diagnosis and adverse outcomes are listed in Table 3. Women who reported participating in any recreational physical activity or at least 9 MET-h/wk 2 years after diagnosis had 64% and 67% lower risk of death than inactive women (HR = 0.36; 95% CI, 0.17 to 0.73; and HR = 0.33; 95% CI, 0.15 to 0.73; *P* for trend = .0046), respectively. Results were essentially unchanged even after excluding women who experienced a recurrence, new primary, or death within 2 years after completing the postdiagnosis physical activity questionnaire (n = 24). Furthermore, results were similar when we used the five physical activity categories (similar to the Nurses' Health Study categories) of less than 3,

3 to 8.9, 9 to 14.9, 15 to 23.9, and more than 24 MET-h/wk. Compared with women who engaged in less than 3 MET-h/wk of physical activity, the multivariable adjusted HRs of total deaths were 0.39 (95% CI, 0.16 to 0.95) for 3 to 8.9 MET-h/wk, 0.38 (95% CI, 0.11 to 1.28) for 9 to 14.9 MET-h/wk, 0.78 (95% CI, 0.33 to 1.84) for 15 to 23.9 MET-h/wk, and 0.27 (95% CI, 0.08 to 0.94) for 24 or more MET-h/wk (*P* for trend = .038).

Table 4 lists the HRs of adverse outcomes according to change in physical activity from before to after breast cancer diagnosis. Women who decreased their physical activity were at an approximate four-fold increased risk of experiencing a death than inactive women (HR = 3.95; 95% CI, 1.45 to 10.50). Results were similar after excluding women who had an adverse event (ie, recurrence, new primary, or death) within the 2 years after completing the postdiagnosis physical activity questionnaire (n = 24). African American race and pre-diagnosis physical activity were statistically significant covariates.

The protective benefit of physical activity seemed to be stronger in certain subgroups, including women diagnosed with a higher stage of disease and women with tumors that were ER positive (Table 5). However, we did not have the power to demonstrate statistically significant differences in these stratified analyses because of the small number of events in some of the subgroups. The unadjusted 5-year survival rates for women who engaged in 9 or more MET-h/wk, more than 0 but less than 9 MET-h/wk, and 0 MET-h/wk after diagnosis were 94%, 89%, and 71%.

Fast walking was the most common postdiagnosis recreational activity performed by HEAL participants. Bicycling and yoga were the second and third most common recreational activities reported, respectively.

DISCUSSION

We found that women who participated in any moderate-intensity recreational physical activity, such as brisk walking, after diagnosis had an approximately 64% lower risk of death than inactive women. Furthermore, exercising at recommended amounts of 2.5 h/wk of moderate-intensity physical activity compared with no exercise was associated with a slightly higher risk reduction of 67%.¹⁷ Although our

Table 2. Associations Between Breast Cancer Outcomes and Physical Activity in the Year Before Diagnosis

| Outcome | Total Patients (N = 933) | Physical Activity During Year Before Diagnosis | | | <i>P</i> for Trend |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| | | 0 MET-h/wk (n = 397) | > 0-8.9 MET-h/wk (n = 237) | ≥ 9 MET-h/wk (n = 299) | |
| Total No. of deaths | 164 | 88 | 43 | 33 | |
| Age-adjusted HR | | 1.00 | 0.84 | 0.49 | .0005 |
| 95% CI | | | 0.58 to 1.21 | 0.33 to 0.75 | |
| Multivariable-adjusted HR* | | 1.00 | 1.14 | 0.69 | .045 |
| 95% CI | | | 0.75 to 1.74 | 0.45 to 1.06 | |
| No. of breast cancer deaths | 115 | 62 | 29 | 24 | |
| Age-adjusted HR | | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.50 | .0042 |
| 95% CI | | | 0.52 to 1.25 | 0.31 to 0.79 | |
| Multivariable-adjusted HR* | | 1.00 | 1.31 | 0.83 | .27 |
| 95% CI | | | 0.80 to 2.21 | 0.49 to 1.38 | |

NOTE. Physical activity during the year before diagnosis was assessed during baseline interview (approximately 6 months after diagnosis).

Abbreviations: MET, metabolic equivalent; HR, hazard ratio.

*Adjusted for age, race, disease stage, initial treatment, and tamoxifen use.

Physical Activity and Survival

Table 3. Associations Between Breast Cancer Outcomes and Physical Activity 2 Years After Diagnosis

| Outcome | Total Patients (n = 688) | Physical Activity 2 Years After Diagnosis | | | P for Trend |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| | | 0 MET-h/wk (n = 114) | > 0-8.9 MET-h/wk (n = 297) | ≥ 9 MET-h/wk (n = 277) | |
| Total No. of deaths | 53 | 22 | 18 | 13 | |
| Age-adjusted HR | | 1.00 | 0.41 | 0.30 | .014 |
| 95% CI | | | 0.21 to 0.78 | 0.15 to 0.61 | |
| Multivariable-adjusted HR* | | 1.00 | 0.36 | 0.33 | .046 |
| 95% CI | | | 0.17 to 0.73 | 0.15 to 0.73 | |
| No. of breast cancer deaths | 30 | 8 | 13 | 9 | |
| Age-adjusted HR | | 1.00 | 0.66 | 0.48 | .21 |
| 95% CI | | | 0.27 to 1.64 | 0.18 to 1.26 | |
| Multivariable-adjusted HR* | | 1.00 | 0.72 | 0.65 | .46 |
| 95% CI | | | 0.28 to 1.85 | 0.23 to 1.87 | |

NOTE. Physical activity 2 years after diagnosis was assessed during follow-up interview (approximately 3 years after diagnosis). Abbreviations: MET, metabolic equivalent; HR, hazard ratio.
*Adjusted for age, race, disease stage, initial treatment, tamoxifen use, body mass index, and fruit/vegetable servings per day.

risk reductions were observed for total deaths, the majority of deaths were from breast cancer.

Our results of an inverse association between postdiagnosis physical activity and total deaths are similar to the three other reports examining this relationship²⁻⁴ and two reports examining postdiagnosis physical activity and colon cancer deaths^{5,6}; however, the amount of exercise necessary for reducing the risk of death differed for our study compared with the other reports. We observed an association with any recreational physical activity, whereas the other studies observed associations with approximately 2 to 3 hours per week of physical activity. The HEAL Study included a more detailed assessment of physical activity than the other studies, asking about 20 different types of activities and their average duration per session, thus allowing us to more accurately categorize women as inactive, somewhat active, or active at recommended levels. Furthermore, our final results focused on recreational physical activity (eg, brisk walking for exercise) rather than any type of physical activity (eg, gardening). Additional differences between the HEAL Study and the other breast cancer studies include sample size and date of diagnosis; our cohort of

women with breast cancer (N = 933) was smaller than the cohort of women enrolled onto the other breast cancer studies (N = 1,490 to 4,482), and HEAL participants were diagnosed in a more recent time period (1996 to 1999) than some of the other studies (1984 to 1998).

Because study recruitment occurred between 1995 and 1999, the majority of women with hormone receptor–positive breast cancer were taking tamoxifen, and during the study follow-up period, less than 1% of the sample reported switching from tamoxifen to aromatase inhibitors. Among women with known ER status, an inverse association between participating in physical activity and death was observed among women whose tumors were ER positive but not among women whose tumors were ER negative. Similar findings were observed for breast cancer death. The Nurses' Health Study also observed stronger influences of physical activity on breast cancer death among women whose tumors were ER positive (relative risk = 0.50; 95% CI, 0.34 to 0.74).² Associations between physical activity and breast cancer outcomes were hypothesized to be stronger in women with ER-positive disease because of the observed beneficial effect of exercise on estrogen levels.¹⁸ Thus, the association between physical activity and reduced risk

Table 4. Associations Between Breast Cancer Outcomes and Change in Physical Activity From Before to After Diagnosis

| Outcome | Total Patients (n = 688) | Change in Physical Activity | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | | Inactive (n = 175) | Decrease (n = 153) | Maintain (n = 163) | Increase (n = 195) |
| Total No. of deaths | 53 | 16 | 19 | 11 | 7 |
| Age-adjusted HR | | 1.00 | 1.37 | 0.86 | 0.41 |
| 95% CI | | | 0.71 to 2.67 | 0.40 to 1.85 | 0.17 to 1.00 |
| Multivariable-adjusted HR* | | 1.00 | 3.95 | 1.55 | 0.55 |
| 95% CI | | | 1.45 to 10.50 | 0.64 to 3.80 | 0.22 to 1.38 |
| No. of breast cancer deaths | 30 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 6 |
| Age-adjusted HR | | 1.00 | 0.82 | 0.80 | 0.53 |
| 95% CI | | | 0.31 to 2.15 | 0.31 to 2.12 | 0.19 to 1.47 |
| Multivariable-adjusted HR* | | 1.00 | 3.69 | 2.47 | 0.82 |
| 95% CI | | | 0.88 to 15.92 | 0.78 to 7.78 | 0.29 to 2.34 |

NOTE. Change in physical activity from the year before diagnosis to 2 years after diagnosis: inactive = 0 MET-h/wk before and after diagnosis; decrease = < -3 MET-h/wk (mean ± standard deviation [SD] = -18.1 ± 16.6 MET-h/wk); maintain = ± 3 MET-h/wk (mean ± SD = 0.0 ± 2.4 MET-h/wk); and increase > 3 MET-h/wk (mean ± SD = 18.3 ± 16.7 MET-h/wk).

Abbreviations: HR, hazard ratio; MET, metabolic equivalent.

*Adjusted for age, race, disease stage, initial treatment, tamoxifen use, and prediagnosis physical activity (MET-h/wk).

Table 5. Associations Between Total Deaths and Physical Activity 2 Years After Diagnosis Stratified by Demographic and Prognostic Variables

| Variable | 2 Years After Diagnosis Physical Activity | | P for Interaction |
|---------------------------------------|--|------------------|----------------------|
| | 0 MET-h/wk | > 0 MET-h/ wk | |
| Age at diagnosis < 55 years | | | |
| Total No. of women | 32 | 294 | |
| Total No. of deaths | 5 | 10 | |
| Multivariable HR* | 1.00 | 0.18 | |
| 95% CI | | 0.05 to 0.60 | |
| Age at diagnosis ≥ 55 years | | | |
| Total No. of women | 82 | 280 | |
| Total No. of deaths | 17 | 21 | |
| Multivariable HR | 1.00 | 0.29 | .93 |
| 95% CI | | 0.14 to 0.60 | |
| African American women | | | |
| Total No. of women | 42 | 149 | |
| Total No. of deaths | 6 | 12 | |
| Multivariable HR | 1.00 | 0.47 | |
| 95% CI | | 0.16 to 1.35 | |
| Hispanic white women | | | |
| Total No. of women | 8 | 74 | |
| Total No. of deaths | 2 | 6 | |
| Multivariable HR | 1.00 | 0.67 | |
| 95% CI | | 0.05 to 9.62 | |
| Non-Hispanic white women | | | |
| Total No. of women | 61 | 336 | |
| Total No. of deaths | 13 | 12 | |
| Multivariable HR | 1.00 | 0.26 | .078 |
| 95% CI | | 0.10 to 0.65 | |
| BMI < 25 kg/m² | | | |
| Total No. of women | 37 | 263 | |
| Total No. of deaths | 9 | 19 | |
| Multivariable HR | 1.00 | 0.47 | |
| 95% CI | | 0.19 to 1.14 | |
| BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² | | | |
| Total No. of women | 77 | 311 | |
| Total No. of deaths | 13 | 12 | |
| Multivariable HR | 1.00 | 0.31 | .40 |
| 95% CI | | 0.13 to 0.74 | |
| Stage I disease | | | |
| Total No. of women | 85 | 407 | |
| Total No. of deaths | 13 | 19 | |
| Multivariable HR | 1.00 | 0.53 | |
| 95% CI | | 0.23 to 1.20 | |
| Stage II-IIIa disease | | | |
| Total No. of women | 29 | 167 | |
| Total No. of deaths | 9 | 12 | |
| Multivariable HR | 1.00 | 0.17 | .17 |
| 95% CI | | 0.06 to 0.48 | |
| Surgery only | | | |
| Total No. of women | 35 | 131 | |
| Total No. of deaths | 9 | 8 | |
| Multivariable HR | 1.00 | 0.28 | |
| 95% CI | | 0.07 to 1.06 | |
| Radiation | | | |
| Total No. of women | 41 | 206 | |
| Total No. of deaths | 7 | 8 | |
| Multivariable HR | 1.00 | 0.61 | |
| 95% CI | | 0.15 to 2.40 | |

(continued in next column)

Table 5. Associations Between Total Deaths and Physical Activity 2 Years After Diagnosis Stratified by Demographic and Prognostic Variables (continued)

| Variable | 2 Years After Diagnosis Physical Activity | | P for Interaction |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|----------------------|
| | 0 MET-h/wk | > 0 MET-h/ wk | |
| Any chemotherapy | | | |
| Total No. of women | 38 | 237 | |
| Total No. of deaths | 6 | 15 | |
| Multivariable HR | 1.00 | 0.44 | .22 |
| 95% CI | | 0.15 to 1.30 | |
| ER negative | | | |
| Total No. of women | 15 | 120 | |
| Total No. of deaths | 1 | 10 | |
| Multivariable HR | 1.00 | 1.26 | |
| 95% CI | | 0.15 to 11.00 | |
| ER positive | | | |
| Total No. of women | 86 | 393 | |
| Total No. of deaths | 18 | 16 | |
| Multivariable HR | 1.00 | 0.20 | .27 |
| 95% CI | | 0.09 to 0.46 | |

NOTE. Physical activity 2 years after diagnosis was assessed during follow-up interview (approximately 3 years after diagnosis). Abbreviations: MET, metabolic equivalent; HR, hazard ratio; BMI, body mass index; ER, estrogen receptor. *Adjusted for age, race, disease stage, initial treatment, tamoxifen use, BMI, and fruit/vegetable servings per day.

for death may be explained by effects on sex hormones. However, physical activity may affect death via additional mechanisms including reduced insulin levels or reduced inflammation markers.⁸

A strength of the HEAL study is that we assessed whether change in physical activity from before to after diagnosis was associated with adverse outcomes relative to women who remained inactive before and after diagnosis. We found an increased risk of death with decreasing physical activity after adjusting for important covariates. We have previously reported that HEAL women who decreased their physical activity levels from before to after diagnosis gained more weight than women who increased their physical activity levels.¹⁰ Thus, weight gain may partly explain the increased HR observed among women who decreased their physical activity levels.^{19,20} These findings emphasize the importance of participating in physical activity after a diagnosis of breast cancer to gain the maximum benefits of physical activity on survival. Although one study has examined change in physical activity on colon cancer prognosis,⁵ no other study has examined change in physical activity on breast cancer prognosis, and few studies have examined physical activity before diagnosis and prognosis in cancer survivors.^{21,22} Nonsignificant associations were observed for physical activity in the year before diagnosis and survival by Abrahamson et al²¹ and Enger and Bernstein.²²

Other strengths of the HEAL study include the quality of the physical activity data. Although we report recreational physical activity, similar associations were observed with any type of moderate-intensity physical activity. Other strengths include measured weight at the 3-year visit, a multiethnic cohort of breast cancer survivors, and results adjusted for various prognostic and lifestyle factors including dietary patterns. Compared with the Women's Healthy Eating and Living observational analysis of greater survival after breast cancer among physically active women with high vegetable/fruit intake, the

protective benefit of participating in physical activity among HEAL women was similar among women eating less than five versus five or more vegetable/fruit servings per day relative to women reporting less than five vegetable/fruit servings per day and no physical activity.

In the HEAL study, physical activity was statistically significantly associated with total deaths but not breast cancer deaths. Given that the majority of deaths were from breast cancer, our lack of statistical significance is most likely a result of the fewer number of deaths, and therefore, there was insufficient power to examine the association between physical activity and breast cancer deaths. Other limitations include lack of uniform eligibility criteria, the inability to separate race and study site, and self-report of physical activity.

In conclusion, our results suggest that participating in any moderate-intensity recreational physical activity, such as brisk walking, after a diagnosis of breast cancer is associated with a lower risk of death. Encouraging women to maintain or increase their physical activity after a diagnosis of breast cancer may be beneficial to their overall health. Future studies examining the association between physical activity and breast cancer outcomes are needed, as are randomized controlled trials examining the potential effect of physical activity on prognosis in women.

AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The author(s) indicated no potential conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Thune I, Ferberg A: Physical activity and cancer risk: Dose-response and cancer, all sites and site specific. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 33:S530-S550, 2001 (suppl)
- Holmes MD, Chen WY, Feskanich D, et al: Physical activity and survival after breast cancer diagnosis. *JAMA* 293:2479-2486, 2005
- Holick CN, Newcomb PA, Trentham-Dietz A, et al: Physical activity and survival after diagnosis of invasive breast cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 17:379-386, 2008
- Pierce JP, Stefanick ML, Flatt SW, et al: Greater survival after breast cancer in physically active women with high vegetable-fruit intake regardless of obesity. *J Clin Oncol* 25:2345-2351, 2007
- Meyerhardt JA, Giovannucci EL, Holmes MD, et al: Physical activity and survival after colorectal cancer diagnosis. *J Clin Oncol* 24:3527-3534, 2006
- Meyerhardt JA, Heseltine D, Niedzwiecki D, et al: Impact of physical activity on cancer recurrence and survival in patients with stage III colon cancer: Findings from CALGB 89803. *J Clin Oncol* 24:3535-3541, 2006
- Chlebowski RT, Aiello E, McTiernan A: Weight loss in breast cancer patient management. *J Clin Oncol* 20:1128-1143, 2002
- McTiernan A, Ulrich C, Slate S, et al: Physical activity and cancer etiology: Associations and mechanisms. *Cancer Causes Control* 9:487-509, 1998
- Irwin ML, McTiernan A, Bernstein L, et al: Physical activity levels among breast cancer survivors. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 36:1484-1491, 2004
- Irwin ML, McTiernan A, Baumgartner R, et al: Changes in body fat and weight after a breast cancer diagnosis: Influence of demographic, prognostic and lifestyle factors. *J Clin Oncol* 23:774-782, 2005
- Irwin ML, McTiernan A, Bernstein L, et al: Relationship of obesity and physical activity with c-peptide, leptin, and insulin-like growth factors in breast cancer survivors. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 14:2881-2888, 2005
- Kriska A: Modifiable Activity Questionnaire. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 29:S73-S78, 1997 (suppl)
- Bernstein L, Patel AV, Ursin G, et al: Lifetime recreational exercise activity and breast cancer risk among black and white women. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 97:1671-1679, 2005
- Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Whitt MC, et al: Compendium of physical activities: An update of activity codes and MET intensities. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 32:S498-S516, 2000 (suppl 9)
- Percy C, Stanek E, Gloeckler L: Accuracy of cancer death certificates and its effect on cancer mortality statistics. *Am J Public Health* 71:242-250, 1981
- Patterson R, Kristal A, Tinker L, et al: Measurement characteristics of the Women's Health Initiative food frequency questionnaire. *Ann Epidemiol* 9:178-187, 1999
- Pate R, Pratt M, Blair S, et al: Physical activity and public health: A recommendation from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the American College of Sports Medicine. *JAMA* 273:402-407, 1995
- McTiernan A, Tworoger S, Ulrich C, et al: Effect of exercise on serum estrogens in postmenopausal women: A 12-month randomized clinical trial. *Cancer Res* 64:2923-2928, 2004
- Kroenke CH, Chen WY, Rosner B, et al: Weight, weight gain and survival after breast cancer diagnosis. *J Clin Oncol* 23:1370-1378, 2005
- Caan BJ, Emond JA, Natarajan L, et al: Post-diagnosis weight gain and breast cancer recurrence in women with early stage breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 99:47-57, 2006
- Abrahamson P, Gammon M, Lund M, et al: Recreational physical activity and survival among young women with breast cancer. *Cancer* 107:1777-1785, 2006
- Enger SM, Bernstein L: Exercise activity, body size and premenopausal breast cancer survival. *Br J Cancer* 90:2138-2141, 2004

Acknowledgement

We thank Kristin LaCroix, Shelley Tworoger, and Lynda McVarish for their contributions to the Health, Eating, Activity, and Lifestyle (HEAL) study, as well as the HEAL participants for their ongoing dedication to this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception and design: Melinda L. Irwin, Anne McTiernan, Frank D. Gilliland, Richard N. Baumgartner, Leslie Bernstein

Financial support: Anne McTiernan, Frank D. Gilliland, Richard N. Baumgartner, Leslie Bernstein

Administrative support: Ashley Wilder Smith, Anne McTiernan, Rachel Ballard-Barbash, Frank D. Gilliland, Richard N. Baumgartner, Kathy B. Baumgartner, Leslie Bernstein

Provision of study materials or patients: Melinda L. Irwin, Ashley Wilder Smith, Anne McTiernan, Rachel Ballard-Barbash, Frank D. Gilliland, Richard N. Baumgartner, Kathy B. Baumgartner, Leslie Bernstein

Collection and assembly of data: Melinda L. Irwin, Ashley Wilder Smith, Anne McTiernan, Rachel Ballard-Barbash, Frank D. Gilliland, Richard N. Baumgartner, Kathy B. Baumgartner, Leslie Bernstein

Data analysis and interpretation: Melinda L. Irwin, Ashley Wilder Smith, Anne McTiernan, Rachel Ballard-Barbash, Kathy Cronin, Frank D. Gilliland, Richard N. Baumgartner, Kathy B. Baumgartner, Leslie Bernstein

Manuscript writing: Melinda L. Irwin, Ashley Wilder Smith, Anne McTiernan, Rachel Ballard-Barbash, Kathy Cronin, Frank D. Gilliland, Richard N. Baumgartner, Kathy B. Baumgartner, Leslie Bernstein

Final approval of manuscript: Melinda L. Irwin, Ashley Wilder Smith, Anne McTiernan, Rachel Ballard-Barbash, Kathy Cronin, Frank D. Gilliland, Richard N. Baumgartner, Kathy B. Baumgartner, Leslie Bernstein

AUTHOR QUERIES

AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES

1

A—AUTHOR: Please note that your title was edited by the Editor in Chief to conform to journal style.

B—AUTHOR: Support note okay as edited?

C—AUTHOR: Please note that any figure changes made at this advanced stage of publication should be to correct data/factual errors, or errors that severely compromise clarity or meaning.

D—AUTHOR: Sentence beginning “We calculated the hazard ratio (HR) of death. . . ” okay as edited?

E—AUTHOR: Sentence beginning “Physical activity was measured as activity. . . ” okay as edited?

F—AUTHOR: Sentence beginning “We conducted analyses with physical activity classified. . . ” okay as edited?

G—AUTHOR: Sentence beginning “We evaluated the relationship between physical activity. . . ” okay as edited?

H—AUTHOR: Table 1 okay as edited?

I—AUTHOR: RR okay as written out as relative risk?

J—AUTHOR: WHEL okay as written out as Women’s Healthy Eating and Living?

K—AUTHOR: Please verify that all contribution information is correct for each author.

L—AUTHOR: Please confirm that conflicts of interest statement is complete and accurate as of May 14, 2008.
